# Net Ecosystem Production and carbon balance of an SRC poplar plantation during its first rotation (POPFULL)\*

### <u>MS Verlinden<sup>1,@</sup></u>, LS Broeckx<sup>1</sup>, D Zona<sup>2</sup>, G Berhongaray<sup>1</sup>, T De Groote<sup>1,3</sup>, M Camino Serrano<sup>1</sup>, IA Janssens<sup>1</sup>, R Ceulemans<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Antwerp, Dept. of Biology, Research Group of Plant & Vegetation Ecology, B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium <sup>2</sup> Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, The University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK <sup>3</sup> Unit Environmental Modelling, VITO, Boeretang 200, B-2400 Mol, Belgium

@:melanie.verlinden@uantwerpen.be



- To quantify the components of the carbon (C) balance of a poplar bio-energy plantation
- To quantify NEP and determine the sink-source status
- To compare the estimated NEP with NEE measured through eddy covariance techniques





## Materials & Methods

- Large scale (18 ha) short rotation coppice plantation in Flanders (Belgium) with 12 poplar genotypes
- C pools and fluxes were quantified on an annual basis during the 2<sup>nd</sup> growth year of the 2-year establishment rotation
- Determination of biomass C pools: combination of sample harvesting, non-destruvive sampling + allometric techniques and upscaling
- Determination of **C fluxes**: chamber measurements using IR-gas analysis techniques + temporal & spatial upscaling and modeling
- **Net C balance** calculation:
  - 1. Pool-change-based approach: NEP = NPP  $R_{het}$  = F + (Ste + Br) + Stu + CR + FR 0.6 ·  $R_s$
  - 2. Component-flux-based approah: NEP = GPP  $R_{eco}$  = GPP ( $R_{s}$  +  $R_{Ste+Br}$  +  $R_{F}$ )
  - 3. NEE assessment via eddy covariance techniques









- NEE Net Ecosystem Exchange measured through the eddy covariance technique
- **Net Ecosystem Production** NEP NPP **Net primary Production**





Boxes represent annual pool changes, and arrows represent annual integrated C fluxes for the second growing season (values in g C  $m^{-2}$  y<sup>-1</sup>). The green filled box (soil) represents the standing soil C pool before plantation establishment (in g C m<sup>-2</sup>). Averaged values are given with standard errors; gross photosynthesis was a modeled parameter, not including an error range.



A few minor *missing* C-pools and fluxes include:

• small  $CH_4$  release fluxes (non- $CO_2$  losses) were observed

Gross Primary Production
aboveground woody biomass pool
aboveground stump (15 cm stem)
pool remaining after coppicing
coarse root (Ø > 2 mm) pool
fine root (Ø < 2 mm) pool
foliage pool
soil pool till 90 cm depth
total ecosystem respiration
total soil CO <sub>2</sub> efflux
heterotrophic soil respiration
(60% of R <sub>s</sub> )
autotrophic soil (root) respiration
(40% of R <sub>s</sub> )
foliar respiration
CO <sub>2</sub> efflux from aboveground
woody biomass

Relative contribution of carbon pool changes to NPP and GPP and relative contribution of fluxes within  $R_{eco}$  and GPP. Values are given in percentage (%) of NPP, R<sub>eco</sub> and GPP.

NPP	100		40.4
	NPP	$R_{eco}$	GPP

component-flux-based eddy covariance pool-change-based

Components of C balance, using three different approaches. Uptake and storage displayed positive, release or loss displayed negative. grey bars = pool changes; non-filled bars = integrated fluxes; hatched bar = eddy covariance assessment.

Stars show the C balance **net result** (in g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) representing the NEP or NEE for the eddy covariance measurements:

- ★ pool-change-based: 140.3
- ★ component-flux-based: 199.2



#### ★ eddy covariance: **95.7**

# Conclusions

- volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions: estimated at 1-2% of GPP, corresponding to 13-25 g C  $m^{-2}$  y<sup>-1</sup>
- dissolved organic compound (DOC) losses to deeper soil layers: estimated at  $\pm$  4.7 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>
- foliage C losses due to herbivory: maximum 1%
- understory (weed) vegetation was sparse (not quantified)



	F	28.8		11.6
	Ste + Br	50.6		20.4
	Stu	3.1		1.3
	CR	13.3		5.4
	FR	4.1		1.7
R <sub>aut</sub>			67.4	59.6
	$R_{Ste+Br}$		10.1	9.0
	R <sub>F</sub>		35.5	31.4
	R <sub>S aut</sub>		21.8	19.3
R <sub>het</sub> ?	≈R <sub>S het</sub>		32.6	

- Considering the size of the C balance constituting components and associated uncertanties, the three approaches give comparable results
- The efficient biomass production with the highest part of the total C uptake allocated to the aboveground wood – led the poplars to counterbalance the high respiratory soil C effluxes
- $\Rightarrow$  The ecosystem was a **net carbon sink** in the 2<sup>nd</sup> year of the first 2-year rotation





We gratefully acknowledge the excellent technical support of Joris Cools, the logistic support of Kristof Mouton at the field site, as well as the carbon and nitrogen analyses by Gerrit Switsers and Nadine Calluy. Funding from the European Research Council under the European Commission's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) as ERC grant agreement n°. 233366 (POPFULL), as well as from the Flemish Hercules Foundation as Infrastructure contract ZW09-06. Further funding was provided by the Flemish Methusalem Programme. G. Berhongaray is a grantee of the Erasmus-Mundus External Cooperation under the EADIC lot 16 Programme; D. Zona was supported by a Marie Curie Reintegration grant of the European Commission's Seventh Framework Progamme; T. De Groote is a PhD fellow of the Research





Research Foundation – Flanders

erc

#### Verlinden MS, Broeckx LS, Zona D, Berhongaray G,

De Groote T, Camino Serrano M, Janssens IA, Ceulemans R (2013) Net ecosystem production and carbon balance of an SRC poplar plantation during its first rotation. Biomass and Bioenergy 56:412-422.

Foundation – Flanders (FWO) and the Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO).

### webh01.ua.ac.be/popfull www.uantwerp.be/en/rg/pve/